



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,936	05/23/2005	Stephen Robert Maunsell	1170/42213/136	7097

279 7590 01/07/2009
Trexler, Bushnell, Giangiorgi,
Blackstone & Marr, Ltd.
105 West Adams Street
Suite 3600
Chicago, IL 60603

EXAMINER

HECKERT, JASON MARK

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1792

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

01/07/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/510,936	Applicant(s) MAUNSELL ET AL.	
	Examiner JASON HECKERT	Art Unit 1792	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-17 and 26-32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 and 18-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/13/05, 7/29/08, 9/10/08, 9/10/08, 10/30/08</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claim 11-17, 26-32 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 10/30/08.
2. Claims 11-17, 26-32 comprise a second special technical feature of a light based indicator and therefore the restriction is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-5, 7-10, 19-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aisa et al. (EP 1741991). Aisa teaches a washing appliance connected to a water supply via pipe 2 comprising a resin container R, which receives water from the water supply via pipe AL, a brine container having an inlet for the supply of salt S which receives water from the water supply via pipe AR, and control and metering means. The control means detects the hardness of water from the mains, and actively regulates the volume of water to be introduced into the brine container, and accordingly the resin container. Regulating device E.V. is disclosed as being a solenoid valve, not a pump. However, the examiner finds a pump to be a known substitution for a valve, as both

Art Unit: 1792

achieve a desirable and predictable result of regulating fluid distribution and in this case achieve the same effect of controlling fluid supply to the brine and resin containers.

Thus, including a pump in place of means E.V. is considered to be an obvious modification. The important teaching gleaned from Aisa is that it is well known and obvious to control the flow of water to the resin and brine containers from a water regulating device based on water hardness sensed in the incoming water supply.

Operation parameters of component E.V. are then controlled to regulate water softening. The opening of a valve or the duty of a pump are such parameters. Aisa also does not disclose a two-way flow valve, but does disclose a functional equivalent water distribution device 1 that has an outlet 8 directly to the washing tub, and another outlet 9 that connects to the resin container. Device one clearly has the ability to distribute water to various components of the washing machine. Furthermore, water distributors are well-known components in the washing machine art. As stated previously, devices 1, E.V., and the controller control the ratio of water delivered to the softener based on the hardness sensed in the mains. Aisa discloses the use of solenoids. It is common in the art to direct softened water towards detergent dispenser, as the softened water aids the deterative benefit of detergent. Thus such a feature is not considered to patentably distinguish the instant application from the prior art. Overflow weirs and drains are not considered to be patentably distinguishable limitations over the prior art, especially considering that apparatus 1 of Asia discloses overflow means and a drain. One of ordinary skill knows of the benefit of including an overflow/drain means on a container to prevent an undesirable scenario of a pressure build up or flooding of the apparatus.

Art Unit: 1792

Aisa discloses a float device G in the salt/brine container. It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Aisa and include a pump in place of valve EV, as it is a common device used to regulate fluid flow.

5. Claim 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aisa et al. in view of Milocco (EP 0545127). Aisa does not discuss where the apparatus is located. Milocco teaches including a water softener in the door of the washing machine, which is a hollow wall. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to include the water softening device in a wall, as disclosed by Milocco, as it was known to do so at the time of invention.

6. Claims 18 rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aisa et al. in view of Maunsell (WO 01/26532). Aisa does not disclose a washing machine with an open topped chamber that is removable from the cabinet. Maunsell discloses that a washing machine of this type was known at the time of invention (see abstract and figures 2-3, 7-8). It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Aisa, and include the softening device in a drawer type washing machine, as disclosed by Maunsell, as it was a known washing machine design at the time of invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON HECKERT whose telephone number is (571)272-2702. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 9:00 - 5:30.

Art Unit: 1792

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Barr/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 1792

JMH

7.